



## A MOVIE REVIEW: AURORA'S SUNRISE

Hazel ÇAĞAN ELBİR

Analyst

Analysis No : 2023 / 21

07.12.2023

The existence of films, books, exhibitions and a number of other projects that interpret the 1915 events as genocide is no longer surprising. A new one was added to these productions a year ago, titled Auroras Sunrise. After its premiere on November 24, 2023 in London, the film started to draw attention quickly. It was made with the support of the Zoryan Institute, EurImages, Lithuania, the USA, France, Germany and Anadolu Kültür from Türkiye. It is not possible to view the movie from Türkiye without a VPN. When you want to watch the movie, you will see statements that it cannot be viewed in your geography. The duration of the movie is 1 hour and 36 minutes. Approximately 70 minutes of the movie consists of animation and almost 10 minutes of the movie consists of interviews given by Arshaluys Mardiganian. The movie also includes some scenes from the 1917 Hollywood silent movie Auction of Souls, which is the subject of the movie.

In the movie, eastern Türkiye is referred to as Western Armenia, in line with the fanatical and antagonistic Armenian discourse. One day, the door of an Armenian family living in peace and happiness in Çemişgezek is knocked on by their Kurdish neighbors. The Kurdish shepherd (this part of the movie is in Turkish) tells them that the war is imminent, that the city has become dangerous for Armenians, that Armenians have started to gather around Istanbul, and that he has heard that a similar situation could happen here. He warns that going to the mountains is now a safer alternative. Kirakos, the father of 14-year-old Arshaluys, who narrates the story, says that he will not go anywhere and that only cowards would flee. Kirakos believes that running away would justify the Turks punishing other Armenians. A few days later, Turkish soldiers came to take Arshaluys father and brother. After that day, his father and brother were never heard from again. Meanwhile, some frames from the movie Auction of Souls are shown. These images show that people who are taken away from their families under the pretext of being taken to war are shot and killed without question. However, it is not explained how the circumstances changed from living in peace and happiness to this point in time. The film does not mention the

Muslim community in Anatolia experiencing a similar situation, nor the rebellions that took place between 1882 and 1909 in different important regions of Anatolia, especially in Erzurum, Bitlis, Van, Elazığ. Of course, these will not be referred to as rebellions in the following frames, but will be presented as the just struggle of freedom fighters. Nonetheless, gratitude to the foreigners who supported them through missionary activities will be expressed in this way.

The movie, in which Arshaluys Mardiganian tells the story of Armenians being forced to change their names and religion, being subjected to inhumane treatment, and girls being raped, also includes quotes from the propaganda book *Ravished Armenia*. There are also references to some articles that have nothing to do with reality and the statements of a supposed eyewitness are used to make it seem as if they are true. The first article that comes to mind here is the article titled *Nearly a century after the Armenian genocide these people are still being slaughtered in Syria* published in *The Independent* on December 1, 2013[1]. In this article by R. Fisk, he writes: [...] It was difficult to find these bones because the Khabur River □ north of the Syrian city of Deir ez-Zour □ had changed. So many were the bodies heaped in its flow that the waters moved to the east. The very river had altered its course[2]. It is difficult to find a more creative solution to explain the failure to find the bodies. Fisk also comes up with another alternative explanation concerning the failure to find the bodies. Despite the contradiction that no mass graves have been found in the areas where genocide was allegedly committed and where no rivers were present nearby, Fisk makes the claim regarding the 5000 Armenians in this area, they stuffed them into a cave and then started a bonfire at the mouth and filled the cave with smoke. They all asphyxiated. They all coughed until they died[3].

In an article written by Jeremy Salt, it is stated that Fisks Armenian driver told him this story and it is not explained how he knew all these details. However, Fisks unquestioning acceptance of this situation is striking. Fisk continues, Up here in the cold dry desert the Turks turned this crack in the earths crust into the twentieth centurys first gas chamber. The principles of technological genocide began here in the Syrian desert, at the tiny mouth of this innocent cave in a natural chamber in the rock. Fisk returned to his accusations in 2014, stating as a fact that in the northern Syrian desert, the Turks engineered the first primitive gas chambers, by driving thousands of Armenians into rock caves [not one cave any longer] and asphyxiating them by lighting bonfires [sic.] at the entrance[4]. There is no evidence for these allegations. As it can be understood, the claim put forward in the movie is reinforced by another claim that cannot be supported by documents.


Returning to the issue of the changing of Armenian names, we can see that the film deals with the issue in a different way. Arshaluys Mardiganian, with the help of a gang leader in Tbilisi, introduced as Antranik Pasha, first travels to Russia, then to Norway and then to the USA. Here, her story attracted much attention from Americans. Henry Gates and his wife, who wrote the book based on her and were her legal custodians asked Arshaluys to change her name. The reason was that it was difficult for Americans to pronounce. Here we can see the first cracks of the American support that she relied on so much. Later, the Gates couple, who had exploited Arshaluys, who fainted on stage, exhausted as a result of

telling her traumas over and over again while going from promotion to promotion, gave up on Arshaluys and abandoned her to the convent. However, they would continue their popular tour by taking other girls they made look like Arshaluys to promote the movie. The purpose of this propaganda was to gain the support of the US Senate for then US President Woodrow Wilsons plan to establish an Armenia in eastern Anatolia under American mandate. However, the Wilson Plan was rejected by the senators. The very people that said I was family had used me, Arshaluys said. Of course, this is still true today.

image not found or type unknown



Image not found or type unknown



Even though the movie stands out as only genocide propaganda, it also makes references to the erosion of the Armenians identities in the countries they visit. It is so obvious that the film was prepared with the focus being on genocide that there are self-contradictions in the very brief mentions of Mustafa Kemal Atatürk towards the end. An example would be the statement, Meanwhile, different empires were forming opposing plans for what was left of my homeland. Wilson was pushing the American mandate for Armenia; Lenin wanted to see us under his new socialist empire; and under Mustafa Kemal Atatürk

Turkish soldiers were taking up arms again to build a new Turkish state in the lands of Armenian suffering. Additionally, the statement Mustafa Kemal was building a new Türkiye by committing massacres his way across Armenian lands., considering the fact that President Wilsons plan was rejected by the Senate by 52 votes to 23, is the blatant example of contradiction in the movie. In the statements, both the Ottoman Empire and the Republic of Türkiye are accused separately. The film goes one step further and tries to extend the period defined as the events of 1915 to 1923. Just as the Ottoman Empire is made to seem guilty for the Relocation and Resettlement Law, the Republic of Türkiye is also held responsible.

At the end of the film, it is stated that The United States was the 33<sup>rd</sup> country to recognize the Armenian genocide. Türkiye still denies the genocide. There is a point that needs to be clarified here; it does not matter how many countries parliaments recognize the 1915 events as genocide. The fact that the United States is among them is not enough to legitimize this situation. The fact is that the concept of genocide is a legal concept, which can only be recognized by the International Criminal Court. Another issue regarding the 1915 events that should not be ignored is the work on the Malta Tribunals. At the end of the First World War, 144 Ottoman officials were arrested by the British on charges of mass murder of Armenians and an investigation was conducted in Malta by the Britains highest legal prosecution authority, the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) in London [5]. Despite the efforts of the UK to try and convict the Turkish detainees in Malta, the investigation conducted by the CPS concluded with a verdict of lack of grounds for legal action □ no prosecution without any charges being brought, on the grounds that it was unlikely that such charges could be proven in a British court of law[6]. This issue, which contains evidence that the 1915 Events were not genocide, is another aspect that needs to be examined.

When considering Auroras Sunrise separately from its inaccurate content, it is possible to say that it is a technically very labor-intensive production, lacking consistency as a documentary, but remarkable when evaluated as an animation. It received 16 awards and 5 nominations.

We understand the pain and sentimentality of Armenians who were subjected to the Relocation and Resettlement Law. However, turning this circumstance into hate speech and making a movie out of the hate does not lead to the sharing of pain, but to a reaction against such projects. While sentimentality and suffering are understandable, it is neither understandable nor tolerable to distort and exaggerate historical documents and create hate speech out of the inaccuracy and feed off of it. This is how this movie is generally evaluated by Turkish audiences. However, this movie is essentially a production planned by the Armenian lobby in the US. Today, it is understandable that it is supported by organizations such as ANCA, etc. that feed off of anti-Turkish hatred. What is not understandable is this: This film was funded by the US State Department and Eurlimages (European Cinema Support Fund) of the Council of Europe, of which Türkiye is a member. In addition, Armenia, Lithuania, Germany and France also provided financial support.

Image not found or type unknown



It is even more difficult for a Turkish viewer to understand why the West, especially the US and the EU, which today applies censorship and double standards even to the just cause of Palestine, would support a film that does not correspond to the facts, distorts history, even contradicts itself in many points, and may stir up feelings of hatred against Turks.

[1] Jeremy Salt, "History, Journalism and Propaganda: Robert Fisk and The 'Armenian Question'", *History Studies*, 13/2, April 2021, p. 341 - 370, <https://www.historystudies.net/dergi/history-journalism-and-propaganda-robert-fisk-and-the-armenian-question2021037b22f80.pdf>.

[2] Robert Fisk, "Nearly a century after the Armenian genocide, these people are still being slaughtered in Syria," *The Independent*, December 1, 2013, <https://www.independent.co.uk/voices/comment/nearly-a-century-after-the-armenian-genocide-these-people-are-still-being-slaughtered-in-syria-8975976.html>.

[3] Salt, p. 357.

[4] Salt, p. 358.

[5] Uluç Gürkan, Malta Tribunals, *Review of Armenian Studies*, no:31, 2015, p. 181, <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/777543>.

[6] Uluç Gürkan, "Malta: Sürgün mü, Yargılama mı?", *Türk - Ermeni Uyuşmazlığı Üzerine Ömer Engin Lütem Konferansları 2020*, Terazi Yayıncılık: Ankara, 2021, p. 13. <https://avim.org.tr/tr/Kitap/10/pdf>.

About the Author :

Hazel Çağan-Elbir is an analyst in AVİM. Çağan-Elbir continues her studies in Political Economy doctorate program at Atılım University.

To cite this article: ELBİR, Hazel ÇAĞAN. 2026. "A MOVIE REVIEW: AURORA'S SUNRISE." Center For Eurasian Studies (AVİM), Analysis No.2023 / 21. December 07. Accessed June 08, 2026. <https://avim.org.tr/en/Analiz/A-MOVIE-REVIEW-AURORA-S-SUNRISE>



Süleyman Nazif Sok. No: 12/B Daire 3-4 06550 Çankaya-ANKARA / TÜRKİYE

**Tel:** +90 (312) 438 50 23-24 • **Fax:** +90 (312) 438 50 26

@avimorgtr

<https://www.facebook.com/avrasyaincelemelerimerkezi>

**E-Mail:** info@avim.org.tr

<http://avim.org.tr>

---

© 2009-2025 Center for Eurasian Studies (AVİM) All Rights Reserved